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## Taylor Approximation

Let $x \in \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{dom} f)$. Then

$$
f(x+h)=\Phi_{x, p}(h)+o\left(\|h\|^{p}\right), \quad x+h \in \operatorname{dom} f
$$

where $\Phi_{x, p}(y) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} f(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{1!} D^{i} f(x)[y-x]^{i}, y \in \mathbb{E}$ and

$$
D^{p} f(x)\left[h_{1}, \ldots, h_{p}\right]
$$

is the directional derivative of $f$ at $x$ along directions $h_{i} \in \mathbb{E}, i=1, \ldots, p$.

## Note:

1. $D^{p} f(x)[\cdot]$ is a symmetric $p$-linear form.
2. If $h_{1}=\cdots=h_{p}$, we use notation $D^{p} f(x)[h]^{p}$

## Measuring the quality of approximations

Let us fix a norm $\|\cdot\|$ in $\mathbb{E}$ and define the norm

$$
\left\|D^{p} f(x)\right\|=\max _{h}\left\{\left|D^{p} f(x)[h]^{p}\right|:\|h\| \leq 1\right\} .
$$

Then we can introduce Lipschitz constants for derivatives:

$$
\left\|D^{p} f(x)-D^{p} f(y)\right\| \leq L_{p}\|x-y\|, \quad x, y \in \operatorname{dom} f
$$

These constants ensure the high-quality of local approximations:
A. Function:

$$
\left|f(y)-\Phi_{x, p}(y)\right| \leq \frac{L_{p}}{(p+1)!}\|y-x\|^{p+1}
$$

B. Gradient:

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}(y)-\Phi_{x, p}^{\prime}(y)\right\|_{*} \leq \frac{L_{p}}{p!}\|y-x\|^{p}
$$

C. Hessian:

$$
\left\|f^{\prime \prime}(y)-\Phi_{x, p}^{\prime \prime}(y)\right\| \leq \frac{L_{p}}{(p-1)!}\|y-x\|^{p-1}
$$

and so on ...

## And what?

## Note that:

1. For $p \geq 3, \Phi_{x, p}(y)$ is a non-convex multivariate polynomial.
2. Up to now, Algebraic Geometry cannot provide us with efficient tools for computing even its stationary points (not speaking about the global minimum)

## Consequence

Practical Optimization goes up to the 2nd-order methods.

## Let us look...

Let us fix $B=B^{*} \succ 0: \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{*}$ and define the norms

$$
\|x\|=\langle B x, x\rangle^{1 / 2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{E}, \quad\|g\|_{*}=\left\langle g, B^{-1} g\right\rangle^{1 / 2}, \quad g \in E^{*}
$$

Let us introduce the power function $d_{p}(x)=\frac{1}{p}\|x\|^{p}, p \geq 2$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{p}^{\prime}(x) & =\|x\|^{p-2} B x \\
d_{p}^{\prime \prime}(x) & =\|x\|^{p-2} B+(p-2)\|x\|^{p-4} B x x^{*} B \\
& \succeq\|x\|^{p-2} B \\
\text { Define } & \Omega_{x, p, M}(y)=\Phi_{x, p}(y)+\frac{M}{p!} d_{p+1}(y-x)
\end{aligned}
$$

NB: 1. If $M \geq L_{p}$, then $f(y) \stackrel{(A)}{\leq} \Omega_{x, p, M}(y) \quad$ for all $y \in \mathbb{E}$.
2. The epigraph $\{(x, t): t \geq f(x)\}$ is a convex set.

Question: Is it easy to put a nonconvex object into the convex one?

## Main Theorem

Let $M \geq p L_{p}$. Then function $\Omega_{x, p, M}(\cdot)$ is convex.
Proof. $\Phi_{x, p}^{\prime \prime}(\cdot)$ is a Taylor approximation of $f^{\prime \prime}(\cdot)$.
Therefore, for any $y \in \mathbb{E}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \preceq f^{\prime \prime}(y) \preceq \Phi_{x, p}^{\prime \prime}(y)+\frac{L_{p}}{(p-1)!}\|y-x\|^{p-1} B \\
& \preceq \Phi_{x, p}^{\prime \prime}(y)+\frac{M}{p!}\|y-x\|^{p-1} B \\
& \preceq \Omega_{x, p, M}^{\prime \prime}(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequences

1. For $M>p L_{p}$ the point $T_{p, M}(x)=\arg \min _{y \in \mathbb{E}} \Omega_{x, p, M}(y)$ is well defined.
2. It can be computed by the techniques of Convex Optimization.
3. It can be used for solving the problem $f_{*}=\min _{x \in \mathbb{E}} f(x)$
in the case $L_{p}(f)<+\infty$.

## Properties of the Tensor Step

Let $T=T_{p, M}(x)$ be the solution of the equation

$$
\Phi_{x, p}^{\prime}(T)+\frac{M}{p!} r^{p-1} B(T-x)=0
$$

where $r=\|T-x\|$.

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}(T)\right\| \leq \frac{L_{p}+M}{p!} r^{p}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f^{\prime}(T)\right\|= & \left\|f^{\prime}(T)-\Phi_{x, p}^{\prime}(T)-\frac{M}{p!} r^{p-1} B(T-x)\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|f^{\prime}(T)-\Phi_{x, p}^{\prime}(T)\right\|+\frac{M}{p!} r^{p} \leq \frac{M+L_{p}}{p!} r^{p} \\
& \left\langle f^{\prime}(T), x-T\right\rangle \geq \frac{M-L_{p}}{p!} r^{p+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle f^{\prime}(T), x-T\right\rangle & =\left\langle f^{\prime}(T)-\Phi_{x, p}^{\prime}(T)-\frac{M}{p!} r^{p-1} B(T-x), x-T\right\rangle \\
& \geq \frac{M-L_{p}}{p!} r^{p+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Local Method

For $M \geq p L_{p}$, consider the process

$$
x_{t+1}=T_{p, M}\left(x_{t}\right), t \geq 0
$$

Theorem 2. For all $t \geq 0$ we have $f\left(x_{t+1}\right) \leq f\left(x_{t}\right)$.
At the same time, $f\left(x_{t}\right)-f_{*} \leq \frac{\left(M+L_{p}\right) D^{p+1}}{p!}\left(\frac{p+1}{t}\right)^{p}, \quad t \geq 1$
where $D=\max _{x \in E}\left\{\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|: f(x) \leq f\left(x_{0}\right)\right\}$.
Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(x_{k}\right)-f\left(x_{k+1}\right) & \geq O\left(r_{k}^{p+1}\right) \geq O\left(\left\|f^{\prime}\left(x_{k+1}\right)\right\|^{\frac{p+1}{p}}\right) \\
& \geq O\left(\left(f\left(x_{k+1}\right)-f^{*}\right)^{\frac{p+1}{p}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Accelerated Tensor Method

NB: We apply the standard technique of estimating sequences
We choose $M \geq p L_{p}$ and recursively update the following sequences.

1. Sequence of estimating functions

$$
\psi_{k}(x)=\ell_{k}(x)+\frac{c}{p!} d_{p+1}\left(x-x_{0}\right), \quad k \geq 1
$$

where $\ell_{k}(\cdot)$ are linear functions in $x \in \mathbb{E}$, and $C>0$.
2. Minimizing sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.
3. Sequence of scaling parameters $\left\{A_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}: \quad A_{k+1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} A_{k}+a_{k}, k \geq 1$.

For these objects, we are going to maintain the following relations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{R}_{k}^{1}: A_{k} f\left(x_{k}\right) \leq \psi_{k}^{*} \equiv \min _{x \in \mathbb{E}} \psi_{k}(x) \\
\mathcal{R}_{k}^{2}: \psi_{k}(x) \leq A_{k} f(x)+\frac{M+L_{p}+C}{p!} d_{p+1}\left(x-x_{0}\right), \forall x \in \mathbb{E}, k \geq 1
\end{gathered}
$$

Define $A_{k}=\left[\frac{(p-1)\left(M^{2}-p^{2} L_{p}^{2}\right)}{4(p+1) M^{2}}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(\frac{k}{p+1}\right)^{p+1}, \quad a_{k+1}=A_{k+1}-A_{k}, k \geq 0$.

Initialization: Choose $x_{0} \in \mathbb{E}$ and $M>p L_{p}$.
Define $C=\frac{p}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(p+1)}{(p-1)}\left(M^{2}-p^{2} L_{p}^{2}\right)}$ and $\psi_{0}(x)=\frac{C}{p!} d_{p+1}\left(x-x_{0}\right)$.
Iteration $k,(k \geq 1)$ :

1. Compute $v_{k}=\arg \min _{x \in \mathbb{E}} \psi_{k}(x)$ and choose $y_{k}=\frac{A_{k}}{A_{k+1}} x_{k}+\frac{a_{k+1}}{A_{k+1}} v_{k}$.
2. Compute $x_{k+1}=T_{p, M}\left(y_{k}\right)$ and update

$$
\psi_{k+1}(x)=\psi_{k}(x)+a_{k+1}\left[f\left(x_{k+1}\right)+\left\langle f^{\prime}\left(x_{k+1}\right), x-x_{k+1}\right\rangle\right] .
$$

Convergence:

$$
f\left(x_{k}\right)-f\left(x^{*}\right) \leq \frac{M+L_{p}+C}{(p+1)!}\left[\frac{4(p+1) M^{2}}{(p-1)\left(M^{2}-p^{2} L_{p}^{2}\right)}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(\frac{p+1}{k}\right)^{p+1}\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|^{p+1}
$$

## Lower Complexity Bounds

Assumption: Method can move only to the point generated by $p$ th-order information.
Difficult function. Define $\eta_{p+1}(x)=\frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x^{(i)}\right|^{p+1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Let $U_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{rrlr}1 & -1 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\ & & \ldots & \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}, \quad$ and $A_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}U_{k} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-k}\end{array}\right)$.
Consider the function $f_{k}(x)=\eta_{p+1}\left(A_{k} x\right)-x^{(1)}, \quad 2 \leq k \leq p$
Theorem 3. Let for any function $f$ with $L_{p}(f)<+\infty$ method $\mathcal{M}$ ensures the rate of convergence

$$
\min _{0 \leq k \leq t} f\left(x_{k}\right)-f_{*} \leq \frac{L_{p}\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|^{p+1}}{(p+1)!\kappa(t)}, t \geq 1
$$

Then for all $t: 2 t+1 \leq n$ we have $\kappa(t) \leq \frac{1}{3 p} 2^{p+1}(2 t+2)^{\frac{3 p+1}{2}}$.
NB: for $p=2$ the lower bound is $O\left(\frac{1}{k^{3.5}}\right)$

## Degree of Non-Optimality

## Accelerated method:

- Rate of convergence: $O\left(\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{p+1}\right)$.
- Complexity bound: $O\left(\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho+1}}\right)$.

Lower bound:

- Rate of convergence: $O\left(\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{\frac{3 p+1}{2}}\right)$.
- Complexity bound: $O\left(\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{2}{3 p+1}}\right)$.

Extra factor: $O\left(\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{(p+1)(\beta p+1)}}\right)$.
NB: $p=1 \Rightarrow\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{0}, \quad p=2 \Rightarrow\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{21}}, \quad p=3 \Rightarrow\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{20}}$.
At the same time, $2^{20} \approx 10^{6}$.
Conclusion: "Optimal methods" with expensive line search should not work in practice.

## Third-order methods: implementation details

Taylor polynomial:

$$
\Phi_{x}(h)=\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), h\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle f^{\prime \prime}(x) h, h\right\rangle+\frac{1}{6} D^{3} f(x)[h]^{3} .
$$

Auxiliary Problem: $\Omega_{x, M}(h) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \Phi_{x}(h)+\frac{M}{24}\|h\|^{4} \rightarrow \min _{h \in \mathbb{E}}$.
Main Theorem: for all $h \in \mathbb{E}$ we have

$$
0 \preceq f^{\prime \prime}(x)+D^{3} f(x)[ \pm h]+\frac{1}{2} L_{3}\|h\|^{2} B .
$$

Conclusion: For any $h \in \mathbb{E}$, the Hessian $\Phi_{x}^{\prime \prime}(h)$ is similar to the Hessian of the function

$$
\rho_{x}(h)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)\left\langle f^{\prime \prime}(x) h, h\right\rangle+\frac{M-\tau L_{3}}{10}\|h\|^{4}
$$

with some $\tau>1$.

## Relative Smoothness Condition

Definition: Function $f(\cdot)$ satisfies the strong relative smoothness condition with respect to $\rho(\cdot)$ if

$$
\mu \rho^{\prime \prime}(x) \preceq f^{\prime \prime}(x) \preceq L \rho^{\prime \prime}(x) .
$$

Define the Bregman distance $\beta_{\rho}(x, y)=\rho(y)-\rho(x)-\left\langle\rho^{\prime}(x), y-x\right\rangle$.
Consider the method:

$$
x_{k+1}=\arg \min _{y \in \mathbb{E}}\left\{\left\langle f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right), y-x_{k}\right\rangle+L \beta_{\rho}\left(x_{k}, y\right)\right\}
$$

Theorem 4. $\quad f\left(x_{k}\right)-f^{*} \leq \frac{\mu \beta_{\rho}\left(x_{0}, x^{*}\right)}{\left(\frac{L}{L-\mu}\right)^{k}-1}$.
NB: 1. For 3rd-order method with $\rho=\rho_{x}$, we have $\mu=1, L=\frac{\tau+1}{\tau-1}$.
2. Solution of problem $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ is simple:

$$
\min _{h \in \mathbb{E}}\left\{\langle g, h\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\langle G h, h\rangle+\gamma\|h\|^{4}\right\}
$$

especially after an appropriate factorization of matrix $G \succeq 0$.

## Remarks

1. There exists an accelerated 3rd order schemes for minimizing smooth convex functions with the global rate of convergence $O\left(\frac{1}{k^{4}}\right)$.
This is the fastest sublinear rate known so far.
2. These schemes are implementable. Complexity of each iteration is comparable with that of the 2 nd-order methods:

- Linear convergence rate of auxiliary process depends only on absolute constant.
- Algorithmic complexity of one iteration is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$.
- The oracle is simple: we need to compute the vector $D^{3} f(x)[h]^{2}$. (e.g. Separable Optimization: $\sum_{i=1}^{N} f_{i}\left(\left\langle a_{i}, x\right\rangle\right)$, functions with explicit structure (by fast backward differentiation), etc.)
- The vector $D^{3} f(x)[h]^{2}$ can be approximate by the 2 nd-order oracle. Then we get 2nd-order method with the rate of convergence $O\left(\frac{1}{k^{4}}\right)$. No contradiction with the lower bounds since this is for another problem class.

