> Reduced Basis Methods Nonaffine and (some) Nonlinear Problems

### Summerschool "Reduced Basis Methods" TU München, September 16-19, 2013

Martin Grepl Institut für Geometrie und Praktische Mathematik, RWTH Aachen



# Outline

- 1. Linear Parabolic Problems
  - RB Approximation
  - A Posteriori Error Estimation
  - Sampling Procedure

### 2. Nonaffine and (some) Nonlinear Problems

- Motivation
- Empirical Interpolation Method
- Nonaffine Problems
- Nonlinear Problems

### 3. Parametrized Optimal Control Problems

- RB Approximation
- A Posteriori Error Estimation

(vesterday)

(this lecture)

(this lecture)

Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

### "Truth" Problem Statement

Given  $\mu \in \mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^P$ , evaluate

 $s(\mu) = \ell(u(\mu);\mu)$ 

where  $u(x;\mu)\in X$  satisfies

$$a(u(\mu),v;\mu)=f(v;\mu), \hspace{1em} orall \, v\in X(\Omega).$$

Assumptions:

- linearity, coercivity, continuity;
- affine parameter dependence.

Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

# Reduced Basis Sample and Space

#### Parameter samples:

$$S_N = \{\mu^1 \in \mathcal{D}, \dots, \mu^N \in \mathcal{D}\}, \hspace{1em} 1 \leq N \leq N_{ ext{max}},$$
 with

$$S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \ldots \subset S_{N_{\max}-1} \subset S_{N_{\max}} \subset \mathcal{D}.$$

Lagrangian reduced basis spaces:

$$X_N = ext{span}\{ \underbrace{u(\mu^n)}_{ ext{"snapshots"}}, \ 1 \leq n \leq N \}, \ \ 1 \leq N \leq N_{ ext{max}},$$

with

$$X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \ldots \subset X_{N_{\max}-1} \subset X_{N_{\max}} (\subset X).$$

Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

### Reduced Basis Method

Given  $\mu \in \mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^P$ , evaluate

$$s_N(\mu) = \ell(u_N(\mu);\mu)$$

where  $u_N(x;\mu)\in X_N\subset X$  satisfies

$$a(u_N(\mu),v;\mu)=f(v;\mu), \hspace{1em} orall \, v\in X_N.$$

Furthermore, we can bound the reduced basis error by

$$\|u(\mu)-u_N(\mu)\|_X=rac{\|r(\cdot;\mu)\|_{X'}}{lpha_{ ext{LB}}(\mu)}, \hspace{1em} orall\mu\in\mathcal{D},$$

where  $r(v;\mu) = f(v;\mu) - a(u_N(\mu,v;\mu), \ \forall v \in X$ , is the residual.

Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

# Affine parameter dependence

### Require

also 
$$f(v;\mu),\;\ell(v;\mu)$$

$$a(w,v;\mu)=\sum\limits_{q=1}^{Q_a}\Theta^q_a(\mu)\;a^q(w,v),$$

where for  $q = 1, \ldots, Q_a$   $\Theta^q_a : \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad \mu$ -dependent functions;  $a^q : X^e \times X^e \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu$ -independent forms.

This assumption is crucial for

- the offline-online decomposition, and thus for
- the computational efficiency of the reduced basis method ...

Empirical Interpolation Method Nonaffine Problems

Nonlinear Problems Nonlinear Reaction Diffusion Problems Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

## Offline-Online Decomposition

We expand 
$$u_{N}(\mu) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} u_{Nj}(\mu)\zeta^{j}$$
  
and obtain  $v = \zeta^{i}, 1 \leq i \leq N$   
 $a(u_{N}(\mu), v; \mu) = f(v; \mu)$   
 $\sum_{j=1}^{N} u_{Nj}(\mu) a(\zeta^{j}, \zeta^{i}; \mu) = f(\zeta^{i}; \mu)$   
 $\sum_{j=1}^{N} u_{Nj}(\mu) \sum_{q=1}^{Q_{a}} \Theta_{a}^{q}(\mu) a^{q}(\zeta^{j}, \zeta^{i}) = \sum_{q=1}^{Q_{f}} \Theta_{f}^{q}(\mu) f^{q}(\zeta^{i}) OFFLINE: \mathcal{O}(N)$   
ONLINE:  $\mathcal{O}(Q_{a}N^{2})$   
ONLINE:  $\mathcal{O}(Q_{f}N)$ 

Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

# Affine parameter dependence

### . . . but

- not all problems satisfy affine-parameter dependence, so
- where and how does our approach fail in the "nonaffine" case?
- how do we deal with "nonaffine" problems?

Empirical Interpolation Method

Nonaffine Problems Nonlinear Problems Nonlinear Reaction Diffusion Problems Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

# Contaminant Transport [Gre05]



Concentration  $u(t;\mu)$  of pollutant in  $\Omega$  governed by scalar convection-diffusion equation  $u(x,t=0;\mu)=0$ 

$$rac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t;\mu) + \mathrm{U} \cdot 
abla u(t;\mu) = \kappa \, 
abla^2 u(t;\mu) + g^{\mathrm{PS}}(x;\mu) \, g(t),$$

with source term modeled by

$$g^{\mathrm{PS}}(x;\mu) = rac{50}{\pi} e^{-50((x_1 - x_1^s)^2 + (x_2 - x_2^s)^2)}.$$

**Goal**: Identify source location  $\Rightarrow$  parameter  $\mu \equiv (\kappa, x_1^s, x_2^s)$ .

Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

## Contaminant Transport – Sample Solutions



Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

## Contaminant Transport – Sample Solutions

Field variable: $\mu = (0.05, 3.1, 0.5)$	$(\mathbb{N}=3720)$
$t = 1 \Delta t$	t = <b>40</b> ∆ t
•	•
$t=80\vartriangle t$	t = 120 ∆ t
t = 160 \( t)	t = 200 ∆ t

Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

### Contaminant Transport – Truth Problem Statement

$$\begin{split} \text{Given } \mu \in \mathcal{D} \subset {\rm I\!R}^P \text{, evaluate} & \forall k \in \mathbb{K} \\ s(t^k; \mu) &= \ell(u(t^k; \mu)) \\ \text{where } u(t^k; \mu) \in X \text{ satisfies} & u(t^0; \mu) = 0 \\ m \Big( \frac{u(t^k; \mu) - u(t^{k-1}; \mu)}{\Delta t}, v; \mu \Big) + \\ & \frac{1}{2} a(u(t^k; \mu) + u(t^{k-1}; \mu), v; \mu) \\ &= b(v; \mu) \frac{1}{2} (g(t^k) + g(t^{k-1})), \ \forall v \in X, \\ \text{for } b(v; \mu) &= \int_{\Omega} g^{\text{PS}}(x; \mu) v \text{ with } g^{\text{PS}} \text{ nonaffine.} \end{split}$$

Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

# Nonaffine Source Term

Evaluation of RB quantities

 $(v=oldsymbol{\zeta}_i, \ 1\leq i\leq N_{ ext{max}})$ :

$$\begin{array}{lll} b(\zeta_i;\mu) &=& \int_{\Omega} g^{\mathrm{PS}}(x;\mu) \, \zeta_i \\ &=& \frac{50}{\pi} \int_{\Omega} e^{-50((x_1-\mu_2)^2+(x_2-\mu_3)^2)} \, \zeta_i \end{array}$$

requires even in the online stage

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}N)$  operations.

#### Difficulty

There is no ( $\mathcal{N}$ -independent) affine representation of  $g^{\mathrm{PS}}(x;\mu)$ .

Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

## Empirical Interpolation Method [BMNP04, GMNP07]

### Main Idea

$$\begin{split} g^{\mathrm{PS}}(x;\mu) &\approx g_{M}^{\mathrm{PS}}(x;\mu) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \underbrace{\varphi_{Mm}(\mu)}_{\mathsf{EIM}} \underbrace{q_{m}(x)}_{\mathsf{Collateral RB}} \\ \text{Recall:} \quad b(\zeta_{i};\mu) &= \int_{\Omega} g^{\mathrm{PS}}(x;\mu) \, \zeta_{i} \approx \int_{\Omega} g_{M}^{\mathrm{PS}}(x;\mu) \, \zeta_{i} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \varphi_{Mm}(\mu) \int_{\Omega} q_{m}(x) \, \zeta_{i} \; , \end{split}$$

If we can calculate the  $\varphi_{Mm}(\mu)$  efficiently, we can again follow an offline-online computational procedure, but

- $\blacktriangleright$  how do we calculate the  $q_m(x)$  and the  $arphi_{Mm}(\mu)$ ?
- what is the interpolation error introduced?

Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

# Greedy Approach [MNPP07]

Empirical Interpolation: Greedy approach for constructing both

- $\blacktriangleright$  interpolation points  $T_M = \{x_1^T \in \Omega, \dots, x_M^T \in \Omega\}$ , and
- ▶ sample set  $S_M^g \equiv \{\mu_1^g \in \mathcal{D}, \dots, \mu_M^g \in \mathcal{D}\}$  and associated discrete spaces  $W_M^g = \operatorname{span}\{q_1, \dots, q_M\}$ .

Greedy Procedure: We first choose  $\mu_1^g \in \mathcal{D}$  and compute

 $\xi_1 \equiv g(x; \mu_1^g).$ 

The first interpolation point is

 $x_1 = rg \, \max_{x\in\Omega} |\xi_1(x)|$  and we set  $q_1 = \xi_1(x)/\xi_1(x_1)$  and  $B^1_{11} = 1.$ 

Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

# Greedy Approach [MNPP07]

Empirical Interpolation: Greedy approach for constructing both

- $\blacktriangleright$  interpolation points  $T_M = \{x_1^T \in \Omega, \dots, x_M^T \in \Omega\}$ , and
- ▶ sample set  $S_M^g \equiv \{\mu_1^g \in \mathcal{D}, \dots, \mu_M^g \in \mathcal{D}\}$  and associated discrete spaces  $W_M^g = \operatorname{span}\{q_1, \dots, q_M\}$ .

### **Greedy Procedure:**

We first choose  $\mu_1^g \in \mathcal{D}$  and compute

$$\xi_1\equiv g(x;\mu_1^g)$$
 .

The first interpolation point is

 $x_1 = rg \max_{x\in\Omega} |\xi_1(x)|,$  and we set  $q_1 = \xi_1(x)/\xi_1(x_1)$  and  $B^1_{11} = 1.$ 

Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

# Greedy Approach

We then proceed by induction to generate  $S_M^g$ ,  $W_M^g$ , and  $T_M$ : For  $1 \le M \le M_{\max}$ , we first solve the interpolation problem  $g_M(x_i;\mu) = \sum_{j=1}^M B_{ij}^M \varphi_{M\,j}(\mu) = g(x_i;\mu), \quad 1 \le i \le M,$ where  $B_{ij}^M = q_j(x_i), \ 1 \le i, j \le M$ , then compute  $g_M(x;\mu) \equiv \sum_{m=1}^M \varphi_{M\,m}(\mu)q_m(x),$ 

and evaluate the interpolation error

$$arepsilon_M(\mu) = \|g(\cdot;\mu) - g_M(\cdot;\mu)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$$

for all  $\mu \in \Xi^g_{ ext{train}}$ .

Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

# Greedy Approach

We then determine

$$\mu^g_{M+1} \equiv rg\max_{\mu\in \Xi^g_{ ext{train}}} arepsilon_M(\mu)$$

## and compute $\xi_{M+1}\equiv g(x;\mu^g_{M+1})$ .

To generate the interpolation points we solve the linear system

$$\sum_{j=1}^{M} \sigma_j^M q_j(x_i) = \xi_{M+1}(x_i), \quad 1 \le i \le M$$

and we set 
$$r_{M+1}(x) = \xi_{M+1}(x) - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{M} \ \sigma_j^M \ q_j(x).$$

The next interpolation point is

$$x_{M+1} = rg \, \max_{x \in \Omega} |r_{M+1}(x)|,$$
 and  $q_{M+1}(x) = r_{M+1}(x)/r_{M+1}(x_{M+1}).$ 

Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

# Greedy Approach

We then determine

$$\mu^g_{M+1} \equiv rg\max_{\mu\in \Xi^g_{ ext{train}}} arepsilon_M(\mu)$$

and compute  $\xi_{M+1}\equiv g(x;\mu^g_{M+1}).$ 

To generate the interpolation points we solve the linear system

$$\sum\limits_{j=1}^M \, \sigma_j^M \, q_j(x_i) = \xi_{M+1}(x_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq M$$

and we set 
$$r_{M+1}(x) = \xi_{M+1}(x) - \sum\limits_{j=1}^M \, \sigma_j^M \, q_j(x).$$

The next interpolation point is

$$x_{M+1} = rg \, \max_{x \in \Omega} |r_{M+1}(x)|,$$
 and  $q_{M+1}(x) = r_{M+1}(x)/r_{M+1}(x_{M+1}).$ 

Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

## Example/Demo

We consider the nonaffine function

$$g(x;\mu)\equivrac{10}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-rac{1}{2}\left(rac{x-\mu}{0.1}
ight)^2}$$
 for  $x\in\Omega\equiv~[0,1]$  and  $\mu\in\mathcal{D}\equiv[0.4,0.6]$ .



Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

# Properties

If  $M_{\max}$  is smaller than the dimension of span  $\mathcal{M}^g$ , where  $\mathcal{M}^g \equiv \{g(\cdot; \mu) | \mu \in \mathcal{D}\}$ , for any  $M \leq M_{\max}$  we have

- ▶ the space  $W_M^g$  is of dimension M and coincides with  $\operatorname{span}\{\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_M\}$
- the matrix B<sup>M</sup> lower triangular with unity diagonal (and hence invertible)
- the interpolation is well-defined

It follows that for any  $g(x;\mu)\in W^g_M$  we have

$$g_M(x;\mu)=g(x;\mu)$$

i.e., the interpolation is exact for all  $g(x;\mu) \in W^g_M$ .

Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

# A Priori Stability: Lebesgue constant

We define a Lebesgue constant

$$\Lambda_M \equiv \sup_{x \in \Omega} \sum_{m=1}^M |V_m^M(x)|,$$
  
where the  $V_m^M(x) \in W_M^g$  is the associated Lagrange basis,  
 $V_m^M(x_n) \equiv \delta_{mn}, \ 1 \leq m, n \leq M.$ 

We can prove

Proposition

The Lebesgue constant  $\Lambda_M$  satisfies  $\Lambda_M \leq 2^M - 1$ .

and

Proposition

The interpolation error  $arepsilon_M(\mu)$  satisfies  $arepsilon_M(\mu) \leq (1+\Lambda_M) \inf_{z \in W^g_M} \|g(\cdot;\mu)-z\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.$ 

Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

# A Posteriori Error Estimation

We have two options:

- Method 1: "Next Point" Estimator [BMNP04, GMNP07]
  - ► Very inexpensive to evaluate ⇒ one additional evaluation of  $g(x; \mu)$  at a single point in  $\Omega$ .
  - ► In general not a rigorous upper bound for the error ⇒ requires the saturation hypothesis.
- Method 2: Rigorous Estimator [EGP10]
  - ▶ Higher offline cost, since we require
     ⇒ analytical upper bounds for parametric derivatives
     ⇒ EIM approximation error at finite set of points in D.
  - Provides rigorous upper bound for the error

Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

# A Posteriori Error Estimation

Given an approximation  $g_M(x;\mu)$  for  $M\leq M_{
m max}-1$ , we define

$$\widehat{arepsilon}_M(\mu)\equiv |g(x_{M+1};\mu)-g_M(x_{M+1};\mu)|$$

and obtain

### Proposition

If 
$$g(\,\cdot\,;\mu)\in W^g_{M+1}$$
, then $\|g(\,\cdot\,;\mu)-g_M(\,\cdot\,;\mu)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}=\hat{arepsilon}_M(\mu).$ 

Note

- ▶ in general  $g(\cdot; \mu) \not\in W^g_{M+1}$ , and hence our estimator  $\hat{\varepsilon}_M(\mu)$  is indeed a lower bound; however,
- ▶ if  $\varepsilon_M(\mu) \to 0$  very fast, we expect (and check) that the effectivity,  $\eta_M(\mu) \equiv \hat{\varepsilon}_M(\mu) / \varepsilon_M(\mu) \approx 1$ .

Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

### Numerical Example

We consider the nonaffine function

$$G(x;\mu)\equivrac{1}{\sqrt{(x_1-\mu_{(1)})^2+(x_2-\mu_{(2)})^2}}$$

for  $x\in\Omega\equiv ]0,1[$   $^{2}$  and  $\mu\in\mathcal{D}\equiv [-1,-0.01]^{2}.$ 

M	$arepsilon_{M, ext{max}}^{st}$	$\overline{ ho}_M$	$\Lambda_M$	$\overline{\eta}_M$	$\kappa_M$
8	$8.30 \mathrm{E}{-}02$	0.68	1.76	0.17	3.65
16	$4.22  \mathrm{E}{-}03$	0.67	2.63	0.10	6.08
24	$2.68  \mathrm{E-04}$	0.49	4.42	0.28	9.19
32	$5.64 \mathrm{E}{-}05$	0.48	5.15	0.20	12.86
40	$3.66  \mathrm{E-06}$	0.54	4.98	0.60	18.37
48	$6.08 \mathrm{E}{-}07$	0.37	7.43	0.29	20.41

Table: NE 1:  $\varepsilon_{M,\max}^*$  is the best fit error,  $\overline{\rho}_M$  is the averaged ratio  $\frac{\varepsilon_M(\mu)}{\varepsilon_M^*(\mu)(1+\Lambda_M)}$ ,  $\overline{\eta}_M$  is the average effectivity, and  $\varkappa_M$  is the condition number of  $B^M$ .

#### **Empirical Interpolation Method**

Nonaffine Problems Nonlinear Problems Nonlinear Reaction Diffusion Problems Motivation Coefficient-function Approximation Error Analysis

### Numerical Example



Parameter sample set  $S_M^g$ ,  $M_{
m max}=51$ , and interpolation points  $x_m, \ 1\leq m\leq M_{
m max}.$ 

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation A Posteriori Error Estimation Numerical Results

### Nonaffine "Truth" Problem Statement

Given 
$$\mu \in \mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^P$$
, evaluate

$$(\cdot) = (\cdot)^{\mathcal{N}}$$

 $s(\mu) = \ell(u(\mu);\mu)$ 

where  $u(x;\mu)\in X$  satisfies

 $a(u(\mu),v;\mu)=f(v;g(x;\mu)), \quad \forall \, v\in X.$ 

We consider the particular form

$$a(w,v;\mu)=a_0(w,v)+a_1(w,v;g(x;\mu)), \hspace{1em} orall w,v\in X.$$
where  $g(x;\mu)\in L^\infty(\Omega)$  is nonaffine.

**Problem Statement** Reduced Basis Approximation *A Posteriori* Error Estimation Numerical Results

# Hypotheses

#### We assume

 $ightarrow a_0\,:\,X imes X
ightarrow{
m IR}$  is bilinear and parameter independent

$$a_0(w,v) = \int\limits_\Omega 
abla w \, 
abla v, \quad orall w, v \in X$$

• 
$$a_1$$
 :  $X imes X imes L^\infty(\Omega) o {\rm I\!R}$  is trilinear

$$a_1(w,v,z) = \int\limits_\Omega w\, v\, z, \ \ orall w,v \in X, \ z \in L^\infty(\Omega)$$

• and 
$$f(v;g(x;\mu)) = \int\limits_{\Omega} v \, g(x;\mu)$$
 is a linear form.

**Problem Statement** Reduced Basis Approximation *A Posteriori* Error Estimation Numerical Results

# Coercivity & Continuity

We also assume that  $a:X imes X imes \mathcal{D}
ightarrow\mathbb{R}$  is

coercive

$$(0 <) lpha(\mu) \equiv \inf_{w \in X} rac{a(w,w;\mu)}{\|w\|_X^2};$$

$$\gamma(\mu)\equiv \sup_{w\in X}\sup_{v\in X}rac{a(w,v;\mu)}{\|w\|_X\|v\|_X}\ (<\infty),$$

and that  $a_1$  satisfies

$$egin{aligned} a_1(w,v,z) &\leq \gamma_{a_1} \|w\|_X \, \|v\|_X \, \|z\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \ &orall w, v \in X, \; z \in L^\infty(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation A Posteriori Error Estimation Numerical Results

## Reduced Basis Sample and Space

#### Parameter samples:

$$S_N=\{\mu^1\in\mathcal{D},\ldots,\mu^N\in\mathcal{D}\}, \ \ 1\leq N\leq N_{ ext{max}},$$
 with

$$S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \ldots \subset S_{N_{\max}-1} \subset S_{N_{\max}} \subset \mathcal{D}.$$

Lagrangian reduced basis spaces:

$$X_N = ext{span}\{ \underbrace{u(\mu^n)}_{ ext{"snapshots"}}, \ 1 \leq n \leq N \}, \ \ 1 \leq N \leq N_{ ext{max}},$$

with

$$X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \ldots \subset X_{N_{\max}-1} \subset X_{N_{\max}} (\subset X).$$

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation A Posteriori Error Estimation Numerical Results

### Reduced Basis Approximation

Given  $\mu \in \mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^P$ , evaluate  $s_{N,M}(\mu) = \ell(u_{N,M}(\mu);\mu)$ where  $u_{N,M}(x;\mu) \in X_N \subset X$  satisfies  $a_0(u_{N,M}(\mu), v) + a_1(u_{N,M}(\mu), v; g_M(x;\mu)) =$  $f(v; g_M(x;\mu)), \quad \forall v \in X_N.$ 

where

$$g_M(x;\mu)\equiv\sum_{m=1}^M arphi_{M\,m}(\mu)q_m(x),$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$ 

$$\int_{j=1}^M B^M_{ij} \varphi_{M\,j}(\mu) = g(x_i;\mu), \quad 1 \leq i \leq M.$$

Admits offline-online treatment: online cost  $\mathcal{O}(M^2 + MN^2 + N^3)$ 

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation *A Posteriori* Error Estimation Numerical Results

### Error Residual Equation

The error,  $e(\mu)\equiv u(\mu)-u_N(\mu)\in X$ , satisfies

$$egin{aligned} a_0(e(\mu),v) + a_1(e(\mu),v;g(x;\mu)) &= \ r(v;\mu) + f(v;g(x;\mu) - g_M(x;\mu)) \ - a_1(u_{N,M}(\mu),v;g(x;\mu) - g_M(x;\mu)), \ orall \, v \in X, \end{aligned}$$

where the residual is defined as

$$egin{aligned} r(v;\mu) &\equiv f(v;g_M(x;\mu)) \ &-a_0(u_N(\mu),v) - a_1(u_N(\mu),v;g_M(x;\mu)), \ &orall v \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation *A Posteriori* Error Estimation Numerical Results

# Energy Norm & Output Bound

Energy norm bound [Ngu07]  

$$\Delta_{N,M}^{u}(\mu) = \frac{1}{\alpha_{\text{LB}}(\mu)} \left( \underbrace{\|r(\cdot;\mu)\|_{X'}}_{\text{affine}} + \underbrace{\hat{\varepsilon}_{M}(\mu)\Phi_{M}^{\text{na}}(\mu)}_{\text{nonaffine}} \right),$$
where  $\alpha_{\text{LB}}(\mu)$  ... Lower bound of coercivity constant,  
 $\|r(\cdot;\mu)\|_{X'}$  ... dual norm of residual,  
 $\hat{\varepsilon}_{M}(\mu)$  ... interpolation induced error.

and

$$\Phi^{ ext{na}}_M(\mu) = \sup_{v \in X} rac{f(v;q_{M+1}) - a_1(u_{N,M},v;q_{M+1})}{\|v\|_X}$$

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation *A Posteriori* Error Estimation Numerical Results

# Energy Error Bound

### Proposition (Energy Error Bound)

If  $g(x;\mu)\in W^g_{M+1}$ , the error,  $e(\mu)=u(\mu)-u_{N,M}(\mu)$ , satisfies

$$\|e(\mu)\|_X \leq \Delta^u_{N,M}(\mu), \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{D},$$

and for any  $N=1,\ldots,N_{\max}$  and any  $M=1,\ldots,M_{\max}$  .

Note:

- ▶ In general  $g(x;\mu) \notin W^g_{M+1}$ , thus $\|e(\mu)\|_X \lessapprox \Delta^u_{N,M}(\mu), \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{D}.$
- Admits offline-online treatment: online cost  $\mathcal{O}(M^2N^2)$ .

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation *A Posteriori* Error Estimation Numerical Results

# Output Error Bound

### We define

an

the output error bound:

$$\Delta_{N,M}^s(\mu) \equiv \|\ell(\cdot;\mu)\|_{X'} \Delta_{N,M}(\mu)$$
  
d the output effectivity:  $\eta_N^s(\mu) \equiv rac{\Delta_N^s(\mu)}{|s(\mu) - s_N(\mu)|}$ 

#### Proposition (Output Error Bound)

For any  $N = 1, \ldots, N_{\max}$  and any  $M = 1, \ldots, M_{\max}$ , the error,  $|s(\mu) - s_N(\mu)|$ , satisfies

 $|s(\mu)-s_N(\mu)|\leq \Delta^s_{N,M}(\mu), \hspace{0.5cm} orall \mu\in \mathcal{D}.$ 

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation *A Posteriori* Error Estimation Numerical Results

# Output Error Bound

### We define

the output error bound:

$$\Delta_{N,M}^s(\mu) \equiv \|\ell(\cdot;\mu)\|_{X'} \Delta_{N,M}(\mu)$$
  
and the output effectivity:  $\eta_N^s(\mu) \equiv rac{\Delta_N^s(\mu)}{|s(\mu) - s_N(\mu)|}$ 

#### Proposition (Output Error Bound)

For any  $N=1,\ldots,N_{\max}$  and any  $M=1,\ldots,M_{\max}$ , the error,  $|s(\mu)-s_N(\mu)|$ , satisfies

$$|s(\mu)-s_N(\mu)|\leq \Delta^s_{N,M}(\mu), ~~orall \mu\in \mathcal{D}.$$

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation *A Posteriori* Error Estimation Numerical Results

## Error Bounds – Remarks

### Remarks:

- ▶ The *a posteriori* error bounds are
  - ightarrow rigorous only for  $g(x;\mu)\in W^g_{M+1}$ , but
  - are very cheap to evaluate one additional evaluation of g(x; μ) at one point in Ω.
- We can replace the "next point" estimator with the rigorous a posteriori error estimator, but they require more extensive offline computations [KGV12].
- The dual formulation can be extended to the nonaffine case [KGV12]

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation A Posteriori Error Estimation Numerical Results

## Model Problem

We consider the model problem with

$$g(x;\mu)\equivrac{1}{\sqrt{(x_1-\mu_{(1)})^2+(x_2-\mu_{(2)})^2}}$$

for  $x\in\Omega\equiv ]0,1[$   $^{2}$  and  $\mu\in\mathcal{D}\equiv [-1,-0.01]^{2}.$ 

Maximum relative error and bounds in field variable and output [N]

N	M	$\epsilon^u_{ m max,rel}$	$\Delta^u_{ m max,rel}$	$ar{\eta}^u$	$\epsilon^s_{ m max,rel}$	$\Delta^s_{ m max,rel}$	$ar{\eta}^s$
4	15	$1.20 \mathrm{E} - 02$	$1.35 \mathrm{E} - 02$	1.16	$5.96 \mathrm{E} - 03$	$1.43 \mathrm{E}{-02}$	11.32
8	20	$1.14 \mathrm{E} - 03$	$1.23  \mathrm{E} - 03$	1.01	$2.42 \mathrm{E} - 04$	$1.30  \mathrm{E} - 03$	13.41
12	<b>25</b>	$2.54 \mathrm{E} - 04$	$2.77 \mathrm{E} - 04$	1.08	$1.76 \mathrm{E} - 04$	$2.92  \mathrm{E} - 04$	17.28
16	30	$3.82 \mathrm{E} - 05$	$3.93  \mathrm{E} - 05$	1.00	$7.92  \mathrm{E} - 06$	$4.15  \mathrm{E} - 05$	20.40

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation A Posteriori Error Estimation Numerical Results

### Model Problem

#### Maximum relative error in the field variable



Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation A Posteriori Error Estimation Numerical Results

# Contaminant Transport



Concentration  $u(t;\mu)$  of pollutant in  $\Omega$  governed by scalar convection-diffusion equation  $u(x,t=0;\mu)=0$ 

$$rac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t;\mu) + \mathrm{U} \cdot 
abla u(t;\mu) = \kappa \, 
abla^2 u(t;\mu) + g^{\mathrm{PS}}(x;\mu) \, g(t),$$

with source term modeled by

$$g^{\mathrm{PS}}(x;\mu) = rac{50}{\pi} e^{-50((x_1 - x_1^s)^2 + (x_2 - x_2^s)^2)}.$$

**Goal**: Identify source location  $\Rightarrow$  parameter  $\mu \equiv (\kappa, x_1^s, x_2^s)$ .

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation A Posteriori Error Estimation Numerical Results

# Energy Norm & Output Bound

Energy norm bound [Gre05]

$$\Delta_{N,M}^{uk}(\mu) = \left\{ \frac{2\Delta t}{\alpha_{\text{LB}}(\mu)} \left( \underbrace{\sum_{k'=1}^{k} \|r_{N,M}^{k'}(\cdot;\mu)\|_{X'}^2}_{\text{affine}} + \underbrace{\hat{\varepsilon}_{M}^2(\mu) \sum_{k'=1}^{k} \Phi_{M}^{\text{na}}(t^{k'};\mu)^2}_{\text{nonaffine}} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
where  $\alpha_{\text{LB}}(\mu)$  ... lower bound of coercivity constant,

$$\|r_{N,M}^k(\cdot;\mu)\|_{X'}$$
 ... dual norm of residual,  
 $\hat{arepsilon}_M(\mu)$  ... interpolation induced error.

Output bound

$$\Delta^{s\,k}_{N,M}(\mu) \equiv \left(\sup_{v \in Y} \tfrac{\ell(v)}{\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}}\right) \Delta^{u\,k}_{N,M}(\mu).$$

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation A Posteriori Error Estimation Numerical Results

### Contaminant Dispersion - Convergence: Energy Norm



Results for random sample  $\Xi_{\text{Test}} \in \mathcal{D}$  of size 2000.

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation A Posteriori Error Estimation Numerical Results

## Contaminant Dispersion – Convergence: Energy Norm

N	M	$\epsilon^y_{N,M, ext{max,rel}}$	$\Delta^y_{N,M, ext{max,rel}}$	$ar{\eta}^y_{N,M}$
40	20	$7.79\mathrm{E}{-}02$	$2.13  \mathrm{E-01}$	3.62
80	30	$9.25\mathrm{E}{-}03$	$3.80 \mathrm{E}{-}02$	3.20
120	40	$1.49 \mathrm{E}{-}03$	$3.05\mathrm{E}{-}03$	2.29
160	50	$4.52 \mathrm{E}{-}04$	$7.43  \mathrm{E}{-}04$	2.09
200	60	$1.41  \mathrm{E-04}$	$2.32\mathrm{E}{-}04$	2.00

Results for random sample  $\Xi_{\mathrm{Test}} \in \mathcal{D}$  of size 2000.

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation *A Posteriori* Error Estimation Numerical Results

### Contaminant Dispersion – Convergence: Output

N	M	$\epsilon^s_{N,M, ext{max,rel}}$	$\Delta^s_{N,M, ext{max,rel}}$	$ar{\eta}^s_{N,M}$
40	20	$3.82 \mathrm{E}{-02}$	$1.86\mathrm{E}+00$	61.2
80	30	$7.25\mathrm{E}{-}03$	$3.32\mathrm{E}{-}01$	64.0
<b>120</b>	<b>40</b>	$6.71 \mathrm{E}{-}04$	$2.65  \mathrm{E} - 02$	<b>66.9</b>
160	50	$1.13 \mathrm{E}{-}04$	$6.47  \mathrm{E}{-}03$	78.4
200	60	$4.42\mathrm{E}{-}05$	$2.02\mathrm{E}\!-\!03$	74.1

Results for random sample  $\Xi_{\mathrm{Test}} \in \mathcal{D}$  of size 2000.

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation A Posteriori Error Estimation Numerical Results

### Contaminant Dispersion - Online Computational Times

N	M	$s_{N,M}(t^k;\mu)$	$\Delta^s_{N,M}(t^k;\mu)$	$s(t^k;\mu)$
40	20	$4.36  \mathrm{E}{-}03$	$8.85  \mathrm{E}{-03}$	1
80	30	$1.09 \mathrm{E}{-}02$	$1.24  \mathrm{E-02}$	1
120	40	$2.07 \mathrm{E}\!-\!02$	$1.73  \mathrm{E} - 02$	1
160	50	$3.39\mathrm{E}{-}02$	$2.36 \mathrm{E}\!-\!02$	1
200	60	$5.11  \mathrm{E-02}$	$3.16\mathrm{E}\!-\!02$	1

Output & Bound  $orall k \in {
m I\!K}$ 

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation A Posteriori Error Estimation Numerical Results

### Truth Problem Statement

$$\begin{split} \text{Given } \mu \in \mathcal{D} \text{, evaluate} & \forall k \in \mathbb{K} \\ s^k(\mu) &= \ell(u^k(\mu)) \\ \text{where } u^k(\mu) \in X \text{, } 1 \leq k \leq K \text{, satisfies} & u^0(\mu) = 0 \\ \frac{1}{\Delta t} m(u^k(\mu) - u^{k-1}(\mu), v) + a(u^k(\mu), v; \mu) \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} g^{\text{nl}}(u^k(\mu); x; \mu) v = b(v)u(t^k), \ \forall v \in X. \end{split}$$

#### Assumptions:

- $-g^{\mathrm{nl}}:\mathbb{R} imes\Omega imes\mathcal{D} o\mathbb{R}$  continuous;
- $-g^{\mathrm{nl}}(u_1;x;\mu)\leq g^{\mathrm{nl}}(u_2;x;\mu), \ orall u_1\leq u_2;$
- $-orall u\in \mathbb{R},\; u\,g^{\mathrm{nl}}(u;x;\mu)\geq 0$ , for any  $x\in \Omega,\; \mu\in \mathcal{D}.$

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation A Posteriori Error Estimation Numerical Results

# Standard RB Approach

Sample Computation:

We expand  $u_N(t^k;\mu) = \sum_{j=1}^N u_{Nj}(t^k;\mu) \zeta_j$ , and obtain  $(v = \zeta_i, \ i, j \in \mathcal{N})$ 

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} g(u_N(t^k;\mu);x;\mu)\zeta_i &= \\ \int_{\Omega} g\left(\sum_{j=1}^N u_{Nj}(t^k;\mu)\,\zeta_j;x;\mu\right)\zeta_i \\ &\Rightarrow \mathcal{N}\text{-dependent online cost.} \end{split}$$

Note:

0

- Standard RB-Galerkin recipe suffices for (at most) quadratic nonlinearities: O(N<sup>4</sup>) online cost ([VPP03, VP05, NVP05]...)
- ► Higher order or nonpolynomial nonlinearities ⇒ EIM.

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation A Posteriori Error Estimation Numerical Results

## Empirical Interpolation Method

Interpolation Points and Spaces:

$$egin{aligned} T_M^g &= \{x_1^T \in \Omega, \dots, x_M^T \in \Omega\} & ext{and} \ W_M^g &= & ext{span}\{\xi_m, \ 1 \leq m \leq M\} \ &= & ext{span}\{q_1, \dots, q_M\}, & ext{1} \leq M \leq M_{ ext{max}}, \ & \xi_m ext{ are chosen by } ext{POD}_t ext{-Greedy}_\mu ext{ procedure.} \end{aligned}$$

Approximation : for given  $w^k(\mu) \in Y$ 

$$g^{\mathrm{nl}}(w^k(\mu);x;\mu) pprox g_M^{\mathrm{nl},w^k}(x;\mu) = \sum_{m=1}^M \varphi_{Mm}^k(\mu) \, q_m(x),$$

where

$$\sum\limits_{m=1}^M q_m(x_n^T) \, arphi_{Mm}^k(\mu) = g^{\mathrm{nl}}(w(x_n^T,t^k;\mu);x_n^T;\mu), \; 1 \leq n \leq M.$$

Note:  $arphi_{Mm}^k(\mu) = arphi_{Mm}(t^k;\mu)$ , function of (discrete) time  $t^k$ .

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation A Posteriori Error Estimation Numerical Results

# Sampling Procedure

### $POD_t$ -Greedy<sub>µ</sub> Algorithm for EIM [Gre12a]

Set 
$$\mu^* = \mu_0^*, \; W_0^g = \{0\}, \; S_0^g = \{0\}, \; M = 0$$

while 
$$M \leq M_{ ext{max}}$$

$$\begin{split} e^k_{M,\text{EIM}}(\mu^*) &= g^{\text{nl}}(u^k(\mu^*);x;\mu^*) - g^{\text{nl},u^k}_M(x;\mu^*), \ 1 \le k \le K \\ S^g_M &= S^g_{M-1} \cup \mu^*; \\ W^g_M &= W^g_{M-1} + \text{POD}_{L^2(\Omega)}(\{e^k_{M,\text{EIM}}(\mu^*), 1 \le k \le K\}, 1); \\ M &= M + 1; \end{split}$$

Calculate  $x_M, q_M;$ 

$$\mu^* = rg\max_{\mu\in \Xi_{ ext{train}}}\sum_{k=1}^K arepsilon_M^k(\mu);$$

end

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation A Posteriori Error Estimation Numerical Results

# Galerkin Projection

$$\begin{split} \text{Given } \mu \in \mathcal{D} \text{, evaluate} & \forall k \in \mathbb{K} \\ s_{N,M}^k(\mu) &= \ell(u_{N,M}^k(\mu)) \\ \text{where } u_{N,M}^k(\mu) \in W_N^u \text{, } 1 \leq k \leq K \text{, satisfies} \quad u_{N,M}^0(\mu) = 0 \\ \frac{1}{\Delta t} m(u_{N,M}^k(\mu) - u_{N,M}^{k-1}(\mu), v) + a(u_{N,M}^k(\mu), v; \mu) \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} g_M^{nl, u_{N,M}^k}(x; \mu) \ v = b(v) \ u(t^k), \quad \forall v \in W_N^u. \end{split}$$

Computational Procedure:

- Admits an offline-online treatment
- Online  $cost^{\dagger}$  is  $\mathcal{O}(MN^2 + N^3)$  and thus independent of  $\mathcal{N}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Cost per Newton iteration per timestep.

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation *A Posteriori* Error Estimation Numerical Results

# Energy Norm & Output Bound

Energy norm bound [Gre12a]

$$\Delta_{N,M}^{u\,k}(\mu) = \left\{ \frac{2\Delta t}{\alpha_{\rm LB}(\mu)} \left( \underbrace{\sum_{k'=1}^{k} \varepsilon_{N,M}^{k'}(\mu)^2}_{\substack{linear\\ contribution to error bound}} + \underbrace{\vartheta_M^{q-2} \sum_{k'=1}^{k} \widehat{\varepsilon}_M^{k'}(\mu)^2}_{\substack{nonlinear\\ nonlinear\\ contribution to error bound}} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where 
$$\alpha_{\text{LB}}(\mu)$$
 ... Lower bound of " $a$ "-coercivity constant,  
 $\varepsilon_{N,M}^{k}(\mu)$  ... dual norm of residual,  
 $\hat{\varepsilon}_{M}^{k}(\mu)$  ... interpolation induced error.

Output bound

$$\Delta^s_{N,M}(t^k;\mu)\equiv \left(\sup_{v\in Y}rac{\ell(v)}{\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}}
ight)\Delta^{u\,k}_{N,M}(\mu).$$

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation *A Posteriori* Error Estimation Numerical Results

# Bound Theorem

#### Proposition

If 
$$g(u_{N,M}^k(\mu);x;\mu)\in W_{M+1}^g$$
,  $1\leq k\leq K$ , then $|||u^k(\mu)-u_{N,M}^k(\mu)|||\leq \Delta_{N,M}^{u\,k}(\mu), \quad \forall\mu\in\mathcal{D},\; 1\leq k\leq K.$ and

$$ert s^k(\mu) - s^k_{N,M}(\mu) ert \le \Delta^{s\,k}_{N,M}(\mu), \quad orall \mu \in \mathcal{D}, \ 1 \le k \le K.$$
for all  $1 \le N \le N_{ ext{max}}, \ 1 \le M \le M_{ ext{max}}.$ 

#### Note

In general 
$$g(u^k_{N,M}(\mu);x;\mu)
otin W^g_{M+1},$$
 thus $|||u^k(\mu)-u^k_{N,M}(\mu)||| \lessapprox \Delta^{u\,k}_{N,M}(\mu).$ 

• Admits offline-online treatment: online cost  $\mathcal{O}(K(N+M)^2)$ .

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation A Posteriori Error Estimation Numerical Results

### Model Problem

Given 
$$\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \mathcal{D} \equiv [0.01, 10]^2$$
, evaluate  $\Omega = ]0, 1[^2$   
 $s^k(\mu) = \int_{\Omega} u_{N,M}^k(\mu)$   
where  $u_{N,M}^k(\mu) \in Y$ ,  $1 \le k \le K$ , satisfies  $u^0(\mu) = 0$   
 $\frac{1}{\Delta t}m(u_{N,M}^k(\mu) - u_{N,M}^{k-1}(\mu), v) + a(u_{N,M}^k(\mu), v)$   
 $+ \int_{\Omega} g^{nl}(u^k(\mu); x; \mu) v = b(v) \sin(2\pi t^k), \quad \forall v \in Y,$   
with  $g^{nl}(u^k(\mu); x; \mu) = \mu_1 \frac{e^{\mu_2 y^k(\mu)} - 1}{\mu_2}$ .

Truth Approximation

- Space:  $Y \subset Y^{ ext{e}} \equiv H^1_0(\Omega)$  with dimension  $\mathcal{N}=2601$ ;
- Time:  $ar{I}=(0,2]$ ,  $\Delta t=0.01$ , and thus K=200.

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation A Posteriori Error Estimation Numerical Results

# Sample Results

### Truth solution $y(t^k;\mu)$ at time $t^k=25\Delta t$ and

 $\mu = (0.01, 0.01)$ 

 $\mu=(10,10)$ 



 $b(v) = 100 \int_{\Omega} v \, \sin(2\pi x_1) \cos(2\pi x_2)$ 

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation A Posteriori Error Estimation Numerical Results

## Convergence: Energy Norm



Results for sample  $\Xi_{\rm test}\in \mathcal{D}$  of size 225.

- "Plateau" in curves for M fixed.
- "Knees" reflect balanced contribution of both error terms.
- Sharp bounds require conservative choice of *M*.

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation A Posteriori Error Estimation Numerical Results

### Convergence: Energy Norm

N	M	$\epsilon^y_{N,M, ext{max,rel}}$	$\Delta^y_{N,M, ext{max,rel}}$	$ar{\eta}_{N,M}^y$
1	40	$3.83 \mathrm{E}{-}01$	$1.15\mathrm{E}+00$	2.44
5	60	$1.32\mathrm{E}{-}02$	$4.59 \mathrm{E}{-}02$	2.43
10	80	$9.90  \mathrm{E}{-}04$	3.41  E-03	2.10
20	100	$9.40  \mathrm{E}{-}05$	$4.16  \mathrm{E-04}$	2.77
30	120	$1.30  \mathrm{E}{-} 05$	$7.34  \mathrm{E}{-}05$	2.48
40	140	$3.36 \mathrm{E}-06$	$8.75  \mathrm{E}{-}06$	1.64

Results for sample  $\Xi_{test} \in \mathcal{D}$  of size 225.

Choose N vs. M such that

 $error(EIM) \ll error(RB)$ 

to obtain sharp bounds.

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation A Posteriori Error Estimation Numerical Results

# Convergence: Output

N	M	$\epsilon^s_{N,M, ext{max,rel}}$	$\Delta^s_{N,M, ext{max,rel}}$	$ar{\eta}^s_{N,M}$
1	40	$9.99 \mathrm{E}{-}01$	$2.49\mathrm{E}+01$	14.1
<b>5</b>	60	$5.35\mathrm{E}{-}03$	$1.00\mathbf{E}+00$	130
10	80	$2.57\mathrm{E}{-}04$	$7.42  \mathrm{E}{-}02$	146
<b>20</b>	100	$1.43 \mathrm{E}{-}05$	$9.06  \mathrm{E} - 03$	436
30	120	$5.34 \mathrm{E}{-}06$	$1.60  \mathrm{E} - 03$	307
40	140	$2.85 \mathrm{E}{-}06$	1.90  E- 04	205

Results for sample  $\Xi_{\text{test}} \in \mathcal{D}$  of size 225.

- Accuracy of output bound < 1% for (N, M) = (20, 100).
- Use adjoint techniques for faster convergence.

Problem Statement Reduced Basis Approximation A Posteriori Error Estimation Numerical Results

## **Online Computational Times**

N	M	$  \ s_{N,M}(\mu,t^k)$	$\Delta^s_{N,M}(\mu,t^k)$	$s(\mu,t^k)$
1	40	$5.42 \mathrm{E}{-}05$	$9.29  \mathrm{E} - 05$	1
<b>5</b>	60	$9.67  \mathrm{E}{-} 05$	$8.58  \mathrm{E} - 05$	1
10	80	$1.19  \mathrm{E-04}$	$9.37  \mathrm{E} - 05$	1
<b>20</b>	100	1.71  E- 04	1.05  E - 04	1
30	120	$2.42 \mathrm{E}{-}04$	$1.18  \mathrm{E} - 04$	1
40	140	$3.15  \mathrm{E-04}$	1.35  E-04	1

Average CPU times for sample  $\Xi_{test} \in \mathcal{D}$  of size 225.

- ► Computational savings O(10<sup>3</sup>) for Δ<sup>s</sup><sub>N,M,max,rel</sub> < 1%.</p>
- But offline stage much more expensive than for linear case.

Model Problem Numerical Results

## Problem Statement [Gre12b]

### Reaction-diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t};\boldsymbol{\mu})}{\partial t} = \nabla(D(\boldsymbol{\mu})\mathbf{y}(x,t;\boldsymbol{\mu})) + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}(x,t;\boldsymbol{\mu});\boldsymbol{\mu})$$

Specific Example: self-ignition of coal stockpile

$$\begin{array}{ll} \frac{\partial T(x,t)}{\partial t} &=& \nabla^2 T(x,t) + \beta \, \Phi^2 \left( c(x,t) + 1 \right) e^{-\gamma/(T(x,t)+1)}, \\ \frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial t} &=& \operatorname{Le} \nabla^2 c(x,t) - \Phi^2 \left( c(x,t) + 1 \right) e^{-\gamma/(T(x,t)+1)}, \end{array}$$

where

- $\gamma$  : Arrhenius number,
- $oldsymbol{eta}$  : Prater temperature,
- $\mathbf{Le}$  : Lewis number,
- $\Phi$  : Thiele modulus.



Model Problem Numerical Results

# Problem Statement

We consider

- ▶  $\beta = 4.287$ ,
- ▶ Le = 0.233,
- $\Phi^2=70000$  fixed, and

•  $\mu\equiv\gamma\in[12,12.6];$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  exhibits very rich dynamic behavior for this parameter range.

Truth Approximation:

- FE in space with  $\mathcal{N}=800$ ,
- FD in time with  $\Delta t = 0.001$ , K = 6000.

Note

Nonlinearity not monotonic: a posterior error bounds not valid.

Model Problem Numerical Results

## Sample Results



Model Problem Numerical Results

# Sample Results



Model Problem Numerical Results

# Sample Results





Model Problem Numerical Results

### Reduced Basis Approximation

RB: 
$$N_T = 20, N_c = 22, M = 44.$$

Truth and RB Approximation for  $\mu=12.6$ 

Temperature

Concentration



Model Problem Numerical Results

### References I

- [BMNP04] Maxime Barrault, Yvon Maday, Ngoc Cuong Nguyen, and Anthony T. Patera. An 'empirical interpolation' method: application to efficient reduced-basis discretization of partial differential equations. Comptes Rendus Mathematique, 339(9):667 – 672, 2004.
- [EGP10] Jens L. Eftang, Martin A. Grepl, and Anthony T. Patera. A posteriori error bounds for the empirical interpolation method. *Comptes Rendus Mathematique*, 348:575–579, 2010.
- [GMNP07] Martin A. Grepl, Yvon Maday, Ngoc C. Nguyen, and Anthony T. Patera. Efficient reduced-basis treatment of nonaffine and nonlinear partial differential equations. ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis, 41(3):575-605, 2007.
- [Gre05] Martin A. Grepl. Reduced-Basis Approximation and A Posteriori Error Estimation for Parabolic Partial Differential Equations. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, June 2005.
- [Gre12a] Martin A. Grepl. Certified reduced basis methods for nonaffine linear time-varying and nonlinear parabolic partial differential equations. M3AS: Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences, 22(3):40, 2012.
- [Gre12b] Martin A. Grepl. Model order reduction of parametrized nonlinear reaction-diffusion systems. Computers and Chemical Engineering, 43(0):33 – 44, 2012.
- [KGV12] Dirk Klindworth, Martin A. Grepl, and Georg Vossen. Certified reduced basis methods for parametrized parabolic partial differential equations with non-affine source terms. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 209-212(0):144-155, 2012.

Model Problem Numerical Results

### References II

- [MNPP07] Yvon Maday, Ngoc Cuong Nguyen, Anthony T. Patera, and George S.H. Pau. A general, multipurpose interpolation procedure: the magic points. Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis (CPAA), 8:383 – 404, 2007.
- [Ngu07] N. C. Nguyen. A posteriori error estimation and basis adaptivity for reduced-basis approximation of nonaffine-parametrized linear elliptic partial differential equations. *Journal* of Computational Physics, 227:983-1006, December 2007.
- [NVP05] N.C. Nguyen, Karen Veroy, and Anthony T. Patera. Certified real-time solution of parametrized partial differential equations. In Sidney Yip, editor, Handbook of Materials Modeling, chapter 4.15, pages 1523–1558. Springer, 2005. Handbook of Materials Modeling.
- [VP05] K. Veroy and A. T. Patera. Certifed real-time solution of the parametrized steady incompressible Navier-Stokes equations: rigorous reduced-basis a posteriori error bounds. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids, 47:773–788, 2005.
- [VPP03] Karen Veroy, Christophe Prud'homme, and Anthony T. Patera. Reduced-basis approximation of the viscous burgers equation: rigorous a posteriori error bounds. Comptes Rendus Mathematique, 337(9):619–624, 2003.